#### **RATIONALITY AND INTELLIGENCE**

STUART RUSSELL COMPUTER SCIENCE DIVISION UC BERKELEY Joint work with Eric Wefald, Devika Subramanian, Shlomo Zilberstein, Othar Hansson, Andrew Mayer, Gary Ogasawara, Tim Huang, Ron Parr, Keiji Kanazawa, Daphne Koller, Jonathan Tash, Peter Norvig, and Jeff Forbes.

Includes ideas by Eric Horvitz, Michael Fehling, Jack Breese, Michael Bratman, Tom Dean, Martha Pollack, and others.

# Outline

- 1. Constructive definitions of Intelligence
- 2. Some silly old definitions
- 3. A silly new definition



#### Three kinds of AI

Modelling human cognition "Look! My model of humans is accurate!"

Building useful artifacts "Look! PBTS made a small fortune!"

Creating Intelligence "Look! My system is Intelligent!!" "No it isn't!" "Yes it is!" etc.

#### Why constructive definitions?

Avoid silly arguments, G & T. Need a formal relationship between input/structure/output and Intelligence while avoiding overly narrow definitions that lead to sterile and irrelevant research!

## Constructive definitions ....

Suppose a definition Int is proposed

"Look! My system is Int!"

- 😌 🕱 Is the claim interesting?
- Solution is the claim sometimes true?
- So that research do we do on Int?

#### Candidates for Int

And the candidates for Best Formal Definition of Intelligence are as follows:

- ♦ Int<sub>1</sub>: Perfect rationality
- $\Diamond$  Int<sub>2</sub>: Calculative rationality
- $\Diamond$  Int<sub>3</sub>: Metalevel rationality
- ♦ Int<sub>4</sub>: Bounded optimality

#### Agents and environments



Agents perceive **O** and act **A** in environment E An agent function  $f : O^* \rightarrow A$ specifies an act for any percept sequence

Global measure V(f, E) evaluates f in E

#### $Int_1 = perfect rationality$

Agent f<sub>opt</sub> is perfectly rational: f<sub>opt</sub> = argmax<sub>f</sub> V(f, E)
i.e., the best possible behaviour
"Look! My system is perfectly rational!"
⊘ Very interesting claim
⊘ VERY seldom possible
⊘ Research relates global measure to local constraints, e.g., maximizing utility

# Machines and programs

Agent is a machine M running a program p This defines an agent function f = Agent(p, M)

## $Int_2 = calculative rationality$



#### The calculative toolbox

The toolbox is almost empty!! Need tools for learning, modelling, deciding, compiling in environments that are (non)deterministic, (partially) observable, discrete/continuous, static/dynamic



# Complexity

Calculative rationality describes "in principle" capability

NP/PSPACE-completeness ⇒ trade off decision quality for computation



#### Int<sub>3</sub>: metalevel rationality

Agent(p, M) is metalevelly rational if it controls its computations optimally

"Look! My system is metalevelly rational!"

89 (3) (3)

3

- Very interesting claim
- VERY seldom possible

Research on rational metareasoning

#### **Rational metareasoning**

Do the Right Thinking:

- $\diamond$  Computations are actions
- ♦ Cost=time Benefit=better decisions
- $\diamond$  Value  $\approx$  benefit minus cost

General agent program:

Repeat until no computation has value > 0: Do the best computation

Do the current best action



#### **Fine-grained metareasoning**

Explicit model of effects of computations  $\Rightarrow$  selection as well as termination

Compiled into efficient formula for value of computation

Applications in search, games, MDPs show improvement over standard algorithms

# Algorithms in AI

#### Metareasoning replaces clever algorithms!



#### Int<sub>4</sub>: bounded optimality

Agent(p<sub>opt</sub>, M) is bounded-optimal iff p<sub>opt</sub> = argmax<sub>p</sub>V(Agent(p, M), **E**) i.e., the best program given M.

Look! My system is bounded-optimal!

Very interesting claim

3

Always possible

Research on all sorts of things

#### Nonlocal constraints!

Translates into nonlocal constraints on action  $\Rightarrow$  Optimize over programs, not actions

Similar conclusions reached in other fields:
Economics: Herb Simon and others
Game theory: Prisoners' Dilemma Robert Aumann, Wed. 10.30 a.m.
Philosophy: Dennett's Moral First-Aid Manual
Politics: Toffler's\* Creating a New Civilization



# Asymptotic bounded optimality

Strict bounded optimality is too fragile

p is asymptotically bounded-optimal (ABO) iff
∃k V(Agent(p, kM), E) ≥ V(Agent(p<sub>opt</sub>, M), E)
I.e., speeding up M by k compensates
for p's inefficiency

Worst-case ABO and average-case ABO generalize classical complexity



Sequence is ABO for any deadline distribution As good as knowing the deadline in advance!



# Metalevel reinforcement learning

Object-level reinforcement learning: learn long-term rewards for actions from short-term rewards

Metalevel reinforcement learning: learn long-term rewards for computations

Criterion for "valid" update rules: convergence to bounded optimality

#### What next?

Prove convergence to bounded optimality within fixed software architectures

- $\diamond$  Prove dominance between architectures
- $\diamond$  Develop a "grammar" of AI architectures

 $\diamond$  Learning and bounded optimality

# Bounded optimal solutions

#### Conclusions

 Computational limitations
 Brains cause minds
 Tools in, algorithms out (eventually)
 Bounded optimality: Fits intuitive idea of Intelligence A bridge between theory and practice
 Crisis: LAP-FOPLBMLDTHTNPOPMEA